



Highlands School

**Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed
grades in Summer 2021**

Contents

1. Statement of intent	3
2. Roles and responsibilities	4
Head of Centre	4
Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Department	4
Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo	5
Examinations Officer	5
3. Training, support and guidance	6
4. Use of appropriate evidence	7
5. Determining teacher assessed grades	9
6. Internal quality assurance	10
Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration	10
7. Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts	11
8. Access Arrangements and Special Considerations	12
Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)	12
Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)	12
9. Objectivity	13
10. Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data	14
11. Authenticating evidence	15
12. Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest	16
Confidentiality	16
Malpractice	16
Conflicts of Interest	17
13. Private candidates	18
14. External Quality Assurance	19
15. Results	20
16. Appeals	21

1. Statement of intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

The purpose of this policy is:

1. To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
2. To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
3. To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
4. To support teachers to make evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
5. To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
6. To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
7. To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
8. To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
9. To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

2. Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

This section gives details of the roles and responsibilities within our centre:

Head of Centre

1. Our Head of Centre, Vincent McInerney, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
2. Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for the school as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
3. Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
4. Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.

Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Department

Our Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Departments will:

5. provide training and support to our other staff.
6. support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
7. ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
8. be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
9. ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
10. ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
11. ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
12. ensure that a head of department checklist is completed.

Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo

Our teachers, specialist teachers and SENCo will:

13. ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.
14. ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
15. make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
16. produce an assessment record spreadsheet for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.
17. securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer will:

18. be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.

3. Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Training

This section provides details of the approach our centre will take to training, support, and guidance in determining teacher assessed grades this year.

All teachers involved in determining grades will be provided with centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness for all students. This training is linked to the support that has been provided by the JCQ, and the awarding organisations.

SLT training (Core curriculum)

- We are in Beta 'Awarding grades this summer' panel discussion. 3rd March 2021.

CML / staff training

Please note that CML training is cascaded down to a department level during weekly Wednesday CPD sessions.

All CMLs were provided with the following CPD sessions:

- Constructing assessments guidance
- Summative assessment CPD (23rd March 2021)
- Information booklet 'Principles of summative assessment construction'
- Standardisation guidance
- Moderation process guidance
- Middle leadership moderation guidance
- Additional support from JCQ and awarding organisations
- Guidance on objectively awarding grades and avoiding bias

Guidance from JCQ underpins the above in-house CPD sessions/ guidance.

Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

This section provides details of our approach to training, support, and guidance for newly qualified teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment.

NQTs and PGCEs have been provided with the additional support:

- Moderation training (21/04/21 or 27/04/21).
- Standardisation training (21/04/21 or 27/04/21).
- Additional support to be given by mentors with one mentor meeting devoted to support with marking assessments before 07/05/21.

Support for PGCE, NQT and inexperienced members of staff has been included in the documents linked above.

4. Use of appropriate evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

1. What has informed our creation and marking of assessments?

All processes involved in the creation and marking of assessments have been created with reference to Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.

2. Retention of marked assessments.

All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades and associated documentation will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.

3. Evidence

a) NEA

- We will use non-exam assessment work (coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.

b) Examined assessment.

- We will use student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s) and exam questions and mark schemes written in house.

We will use student work produced in centre-devised assessments that:

- gives students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area(s) of content that has been taught but not yet assessed, while at the same time allowing students to revisit areas of the subject's knowledge domain.
- reflects the specification.
- combines and / or removes elements of questions focusing on an element of the specification that has not been taught.
- follows the same format as awarding organisation materials.
- is completed in standardised conditions.
- has been marked, standardised and moderated in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.

Use of additional evidence.

We recognise that more recent evidence is likely to be more representative of student performance and this is the May assessments. In circumstances where it has not been possible to collect evidence from these assessments we will consider performance in earlier assessments, giving priority to those conducted in high control conditions.

Ensuring consistency of inference.

In order to support consistency of inference between teachers and / or classes:

- all students will sit the same assessments in the same subject-areas.
- all departments will enact a standardisation and moderation process.

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed. All our evidence (apart from NEAs) will be completed / has been completed under 'high-control'. This will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

5. Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.

1. The most recently completed forms of evidence will act as the main source of evidence to decide a student's grade, with the November assessments used as an additional reference when finalising a grade.
2. All departments will use a holistic judgement for the final awarding of grades. These judgements will be based on pre-agreed forms of evidence:
 - a. Mock examinations sat during November 2020 in standardised conditions.
 - b. Existing non-examined assessments.
 - c. End of course assessments sat during May 2021 in standardised conditions. Students will complete two assessments in each subject.
3. Where necessary, in exceptional circumstances, we may use additional forms of evidence that are agreed by a member of the senior leadership team. Performance-based subjects will continue to follow exam-board expectations where possible.
4. All forms of evidence must be compliant with the authentication of evidence section.
5. To support departments determining teacher assessed grades, the centre will provide an assessment record template in the form of a spreadsheet.
6. Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
7. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be noted within the assessment record spreadsheet and will be shared with teachers.
8. The subject assessment record spreadsheet will identify all forms of approved evidence that have been used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.

6. Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

Internal quality assurance

This section gives details of our approach to internal standardisation, within and across subject departments.

1. We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
2. We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
 - Arriving at teacher assessed grades
 - Marking of evidence
 - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
3. In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.
4. We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
5. We will ensure that the assessment record spreadsheet will form the basis of internal moderation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
6. Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
7. Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
8. Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre; if there is not an additional member of staff within the centre, the head of department will liaise with another approved centre about supporting with moderation
 - Karl Tuton will oversee the final distribution of grades from all subjects
 - SLT line managers will ensure all processes for awarding grades will have been followed
9. In respect of equality legislation, we will ensure those with protected characteristics will not be discriminated against in the collection of evidence or process of standardisation.

7. Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

This section gives details of our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

1. We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in the past June series in which exams took place 2017 - 2019.
2. We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
3. We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
4. We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
5. We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, addresses the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.

This section gives details of the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

6. We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
7. We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021 for example FFT and ALPS benchmarking where available.

This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

8. We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.
9. We will consider any significant variation in the cohort compared to historical data

8. Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

This section gives details of our approach to access arrangements and mitigating circumstances (special consideration).

1. Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken. This includes the November and May formal assessments.
2. Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and alternative evidence obtained.
3. Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.
4. We will record, as part of the Assessment Record spreadsheet, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
5. To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we have ensured the centre follows the document: JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020.

Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

This section gives details of our approach to address disruption or differentiated lost teaching.

6. Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.
7. A list of topics being assessed in the final assessment window were provided to all students to aid revision.
8. Easter revision school was available to all students.
9. Significant periods of absence due to Covid 19 will be noted in the assessment record spreadsheet and may be used to support movement between grades close to a grade boundary (up to 2%).

9. Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

This section gives a summary of the arrangements in place within our centre in relation to objectivity.

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:

1. Sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
2. How to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias; and
3. Bias in teacher assessed grades.

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

4. Unconscious bias can skew judgements;
5. The evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
6. Teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
7. Examination papers will have student names removed;
8. Unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed;

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

10. Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to record decisions and to retain evidence and data.

This section outlines our approach to recording decisions and retaining evidence and data.

1. We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades. This will be held on Google sheets.
2. We will ensure that, where NEA is part of the assessment, evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
3. We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
4. We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
5. We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
6. We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).
7. We will retain evidence in assessments that took place since the announcement of the TAG process.

11. Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- All forms of evidence must be approved by a member of the senior leadership team

All forms of evidence should comply with the following criteria:

- Appropriate coverage of assessment objectives
- Appropriate coverage of course content
- Assessed with a standardised mark-scheme
- Resemble the specification for each subject
- Where appropriate, produced in standardised conditions*
- Where appropriate, access arrangements and required additional support has been recorded

*For forms of evidence where the students have not completed these within standardised / controlled conditions such as, non-examined assessments, these must follow traditional exam board specification guidance on authentication; where appropriate pre-existing authentication documentation should be completed by the class teacher and student.

Where there are instances of evidence appears to not be authentic. These should be raised with the head of department who will investigate these cases. Confirmed cases of inauthentic forms of evidence should be noted in the assessment record spreadsheet.

Robust mechanisms, which will include all assessments completed on site, will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors. Where students are unable to complete this onsite, we will work with external providers to secure similar conditions and authentication.

It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by the awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity.

12. Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

This section details the measures in place in our centre to maintain the confidentiality of grades, while sharing information regarding the range of evidence on which the grades will be based.

1. All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.
2. All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
3. Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.

Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

This section details the measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements.

4. Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
5. All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.
6. All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - a. breaches of internal security;
 - b. deception;
 - c. improper assistance to students;
 - d. failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;
 - e. over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;
 - f. allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;
 - g. centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - h. failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and
 - i. failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.

7. The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

This section details our approach to addressing conflicts of interest, and how we will respond to such allegations.

8. To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
9. Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).
10. We will also carefully consider the need to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

13. Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to working with Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades.

1. We will only accept private entries for students on school roll who wish to sit a community language examination.
2. We will work with external learning providers to provide evidence that supports the award of a grade and expect this evidence to be as close as possible to that required in other qualifications.
3. Our arrangements for assessing private candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
4. Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the **JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates** has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
5. In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for private candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

14. External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

This section outlines the arrangements we have in place to ensure the relevant documentation and assessment evidence can be provided in a timely manner for the purposes of External Quality Assurance sampling, and that staff can be made available to respond to enquiries.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

15. Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

This section details our approach to the issue of results to students and the provision of advice and guidance.

1. All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
2. Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
3. Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
4. Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
5. Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
6. Parents/guardians will be made aware of arrangements for results days.

16. Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

This section details our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, and subsequent appeals to awarding organisations.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.
- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.